	1	
1	Kevin S. Sinclair, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 12277	
2	ksinclair@earlysullivan.com Sophia S. Lau, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 13365	
3	slau@earlysullivan.com EARLY SULLIVAN WRIGHT	
4	GIZER & McRAE LLP 8716 Spanish Ridge Avenue, Suite 105	
5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 Telephone: (702) 331-7593	
	Facsimile: (702) 331-1652	
7	Attorneys for Defendant OLD REPUBLIC NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	-	
11	U.S. BANK TRUST, N.A., AS TRUSTEE FOR LSF8 MASTER PARTICIPATION	Case No.: 2:19-cv-01136-APG-BNW
12	TRUST,	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO STAY CASE PENDING
13	Plaintiff,	APPEAL
14	VS.	
15	OLD REPUBLIC NATIONAL TITLE INSURANCE COMPANY,	
16	Defendant.	
17	Plaintiff U.S. Bank Trust, N.A., as Trustee for LSF8 Master Participation Trust ("Bank")	
18	and defendant Old Republic National Title Insurance Company ("Insurer") (collectively, the	
19	"Parties"), by and through their undersigned counsel, stipulate and agree as follows, subject to the	
20	approval of the District Court:	
21	WHEREAS, there are now currently pending in the United States District Court for the	
22	District of Nevada more than three dozen actions between national banks, on the one hand, and	
23	their title insurers, on the other hand (the "Actions");	
24	WHEREAS, each of the Actions involves a title insurance coverage dispute wherein the	
25	national bank contends, and the title insurer disputes, that a title insurance claim involving an	
26	HOA assessment lien and subsequent sale was covered by a policy of title insurance;	
27	WHEREAS, in virtually all of these Actions, the title insurer underwrote an ALTA 1992	
	i	



loan policy of title insurance with form 1 coverage, along with the CLTA 100/ALTA 9

Endorsement and either the CLTA 115.1/ALTA 4 Endorsement or the CLTA 115.2/ALTA 5

Endorsement (the "Form Policy");

WHEREAS, each of the Actions implicates common questions of interpretation of the Form Policy;

WHEREAS, the national bank in one of these actions has now appealed a judgment of dismissal to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, *Wells Fargo Bank*, *N.A. v. Fidelity National Title Ins. Co.*, Ninth Cir. Case No. 19-17332 (District Court Case No. 3:19-cv-00241-MMD-WGC) (the "*Wells Fargo II* Appeal");

WHEREAS, the Parties anticipate that the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' decision in the *Wells Fargo II* Appeal will likely touch upon issues regarding the interpretation of the Form Policy and the reasonableness of the insurer's denial, that could potentially affect the disposition of the other Actions, including the instant action;

WHEREAS, Insurer previously moved to stay the instant action pending the disposition of the *Wells Fargo II* Appeal (the "Motion to Stay");

WHEREAS both of the Parties intend that the instant Stipulation is to withdraw and replace the previously filed Motion to Stay;

WHEREAS both of the Parties agree that it is appropriate and desirous to stay the instant action pending the disposition of the *Wells Fargo II* Appeal, that a stay of the instant action will not prejudice either of the Parties, and that a stay of the instant action will best serve the interests of judicial economy (given the possibility that the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals' decision on the *Wells Fargo II* Appeal might affect the disposition of this case);

NOW THEREFORE, the Parties, by and through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. The instant action shall immediately be **STAYED**, pending the disposition of the *Wells Fargo II* Appeal.
- 2. The scheduling order previously entered in this action is hereby **VACATED**.
- 3. Each of the Parties shall be excused from responding to any now-outstanding



